

Interaction of Low Energy Deuterium Plasma With Tungsten and Nano-Structured Tungsten Coatings: from Laboratory Data to Tokamak

O. V. Ogorodnikova^{1,2}

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Boltzmannstr. 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany

²National Research Nuclear University "MEPHI", Kashirskoe sh.31, Russia

The objective of this work is to compare the deuterium retention in bulk tungsten and tungsten coatings exposed to deuterium plasma in well-defined laboratory conditions and complicate tokamak conditions and make reliable prediction for future fusion reactors.

Keywords: nano-structured tungsten coatings, deuterium plasma, radiation-induced damage

1 INTRODUCTION

Particle retention in tokamak walls is a key issue for long time discharges in future thermonuclear fusion reactors. Tungsten (W), its alloys and coatings are used as plasma-facing materials in present tokamaks as ASDEX Upgrade (AUG) and JET and selected to be used in future fusion devices. Prediction of fuel retention in plasma-exposed wall materials for ITER and future fusion reactors depends on the knowledge of the fundamental retention mechanisms in these materials. Laboratory experiments on plasma-W interaction allow a detailed study of hydrogen behavior in tungsten in well-defined experimental conditions. A comparison of laboratory data with tokamak data using same materials gives us a platform for understanding of key parameters govern tritium retention in present tokamaks and reliable extrapolations towards future fusion devices.

2 EXPERIMENTAL

In the present work, two types of bulk polycrystalline W (with grains oriented parallel, WP, and perpendicular, WI, to the incident plasma) and two types of W coatings (vacuum plasma sprayed and nanostructured tungsten) were used. Dense nanostructured tungsten coatings were produced on fine grain graphite by Combined Magnetron Sputtering and Ion Implantation (CMSII-W) at the Romanian Association MEdC [1]. Those samples were exposed to low energy well-defined deuterium (D) plasma generated by

electron-cyclotron resonance (ECR) plasma source. Experiments were performed at different sample temperatures from 320 to 700 K. The D retention in each sample was subsequently analyzed by nuclear reaction analysis (NRA) for the depth profiling up to 6 μm . Total D retention was found by thermal desorption spectroscopy (TDS). Laboratory data were compared with same materials used as outer divertor target in AUG [2].

3 RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Fig. 1 shows an example of the D retention in CMSII-W coating obtained from TDS and NRA after exposure in AUG [2] and by TDS after plasma exposure in lab conditions.




Fig. 1. The D retention in CMSII-W coating (10 μm) on carbon substrate after plasma exposure in AUG (circles) and in lab (squares) as a function of incident D fluence.

As it was point out in [2], surface temperature of AUG samples during a discharge is 400 - 500 K in most cases. The lab data for 20 eV and 500 K are in a very good agreement with AUG data.

The D concentration in W layers measured by NRA was considerably higher than that one in polycrystalline W in both laboratory [3] and AUG [2] experiments because W coatings have high intrinsic defect density [3]. It was shown that the D concentration in CMSII-W coating is approximately 0.5 at.% up to 6 μm in depth [3] with increasing of the deuterium concentration in the interlayer between W coating and substrate. Consequently, the D retention in the interlayer between different materials can be a concern. The D concentration in polycrystalline W is about 10^{-2} at.% at 6 μm . The D retention in bulk W with grains elongated parallel to the incident plasma shows lower D retention than for W with grains elongated perpendicular. It is reasonable to suggest that such difference is due to different migration ability of D along and across to the grain elongation.

Fig. 2 shows the D retention in bulk WI and WP as well as in CMSII-W film obtained from TDS data in lab experiments versus AUG data [2] as a function of the incident fluence. The substantial fraction of D in CMSII-W coating is trapped only in 10 μm of coating [3], whereas the D can migrate deeper into the bulk in the case of polycrystalline W. This is a reason that the D retention at AUG shows only slightly higher D retention in CMSII-W film compared to that one in a bulk W at high fluences $>10^{26}$ D/m^2 as one can see on Fig. 2. Moreover, the D retention in bulk W has a maximum at around 500 K [3]. Whereas the D retention decreases monotonically with increasing of the temperature in the case of CMSII-W. At this temperature of 500 K, the D retention in polycrystalline W can be only by a factor of two less compared to that one in CMSII-W film. The D retention in CMSII-W film is considerably higher (orders of




Fig. 2. The D retention in polycrystalline WI and WP and CMSII-W coating (10 μm) on carbon substrate after plasma exposure in AUG [2] and in lab as a function of incident D fluence.

magnitude) than in a bulk W at elevated temperatures >600 K.

The incident deuterium flux in lab plasma exposure was $\sim 10^{20}$ $\text{D}/\text{m}^2\text{s}$ while the flux in AUG was $\sim 10^{22}$ $\text{D}/\text{m}^2\text{s}$ [2]. In spite of difference in the incident flux, the overall D retention behaviour in W materials exposed to the D plasma at AUG experiments was reasonably in the range of predictions based on laboratory studies.

Calculations using a diffusion-trapping model showed a good agreement with the laboratory data and with the observations at AUG and, therefore, were used for extrapolations to future fusion devices. In contrast to the present tokamak, W will be subjected to neutron (n) irradiation in future fusion devices. To simulate n-like damage at low dpa (displacement per atom), self-ion irradiation was used. The radiation-induced defects were produced by irradiation of targets with 20 MeV W ions up to 1 dpa as described in [4]. The damaging rate was $\sim 2 \times 10^{-4}$ dpa/s (approximately 0.5 dpa per hour). This radiation-induced damage creates additional trapping sites for deuterium and significantly increases the D inventory in polycrystalline W but only slightly increases the D inventory in W coatings. Fig. 3 shows the D concentration at radiation-induced

defects as a function of irradiation dose for W coating and bulk W. Due to high initial concentration of intrinsic defects in CMSII-W, the irradiation with self-ions does not result in a significant increase of the total density of defects (open circles are D concentration in undamaged CMSII-W and closed circles are D concentration at radiation-induced defects). In contrast, pre-irradiation of polycrystalline W with self-ions results in an increase of the D retention by orders of magnitude. At irradiation dose of 0.5 dpa, the same concentration of radiation-induced trapping sites for deuterium in different W grades was found independent on the initial density of defects. Therefore, the structure of the W material will play an important role in the D retention in the beginning of the plasma operation in the future fusion device, whereas after several hundreds pulses, the D retention will not be depend on the W grades.




Fig. 3. The D concentration at radiation-induced defects in CMSII-W coating (10 μm) on carbon substrate (circles) and in bulk W (squares) pre-irradiated with 20 MeV W ions at different doses and subsequently exposed to 20 eV D plasma at 470 K. D concentrations in undamaged (0 dpa) W materials are shown as open symbols.

4 CONCLUSION

The D retention in nano-structural W coatings and bulk W exposed to AUG shows reasonable agreement with laboratory deuterium plasma experiments. On the basis of present experimental results, extrapolation of the tritium retention in polycrystalline bulk W and dense nano-structural W coating to ITER and DEMO conditions taking into account effect of 14 MeV neutrons can be done.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thanks to K. Sugiyama for NRA and T. Dürbeck, Yu. Gasparyan and V. Efimov for TDS. I am grateful to J. Doerner and M.°Fusseder for technical assistance. I am gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Impuls- und Vernetzungsfond der Hemholtz-Gemeinschaft e.V.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Ruset, E. Grigore, H. Maier, R. Neu, H. Greuner, M. Mayer, G. Matthews, Fusion Engineering and Design, 86, 9–11, (2011) 1677
- [2] K. Sugiyama, M. Mayer, A. Herrmann, K. Krieger, V. Rohde, M. Balden, S. Lindig, R. Neu, H.W. Müller and the ASDEX Upgrade team, Phys. Scr. T149 (2014) 014043
- [3] O. V. Ogorodnikova, K. Sugiyama, T. Schwarz-Selinger, T. Dürbeck, M. Balden, J. Nucl. Mater, 419 (2011) 194
- [4] O.V. Ogorodnikova and K. Sugyama, J. Nucl. Mater, 442 (2013) 518